

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

8 SHARON ELAINE BURLESON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11
12 SECURITY PROPERTIES
RESIDENTIAL, LLC, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.
14

CASE NO. C18-0513RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS
TO AMEND COMPLAINT AS
UNNECESSARY

15 On May 2, 2018, plaintiff filed motions to amend her complaint to remove the
16 reference to MMWC Holdings, Inc., as the “Entity Name” for defendant AUM, Inc.
17 (Dkt. # 6) and to add Velocity as a defendant (Dkt. # 7). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
18 15(a)(1)(B), plaintiff may amend her complaint once as a matter of course and without
19 additional permissions or approvals up until 21 days after defendants serve their answer
20 or file a motion to dismiss, whichever is earlier. Because no defendant has yet responded
21 to the original complaint, leave to amend is not necessary.

22 The proposed amendment cannot, however, be accomplished simply by notifying
23 the Court of a change or mailing an altered version of the complaint to a single
24 defendant. Plaintiff must file the amended complaint - in the form of a self-contained
25 document setting forth all of her factual allegations and claims - in the Court’s docket
26

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO AMEND
COMPLAINT AS UNNECESSARY

1 and serve it on all defendants. If a defendant has already been served and has appeared
2 in this action, service will be accomplished as soon as the complaint is filed in the
3 Court's electronic docketing system. If a defendant has been served but has not yet
4 appeared, plaintiff should mail a copy of the amended complaint to that defendant
5 through the U.S. Postal Service. If a defendant has not yet been served in this matter, the
6 amended complaint must be served with a summons as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4

7
8 Dated this 1st day of June, 2018.

9 

10 Robert S. Lasnik
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26